

Andrea Enria Chair European Banking Authority

## **EBA Board of Supervisors**

One Canada Square (Floor 46)

Canary Wharf

London E14 5AA | UK

7 December 2015

Dear Mr Enria, Honourable Member of the EBA Board of Supervisors,

### Re: CVA risk capital charge exemption for non-financial counterparties

The European Association of Corporate Treasurers and the undersigned companies representing a wide range of the EU economy are greatly concerned by the EBA's plans to impose additional capital charges on transactions by non-financial companies that have been exempted from the Credit Valuation Adjustment (CVA) risk capital charge in CRD IV<sup>1</sup>. EBA's proposals would essentially eliminate the economic effect of the CVA exemption for non-financial companies' (NFC) risk-mitigating OTC derivatives transactions. This would lead to a substantial increase in the cost of hedging and potentially to a reduced level of risk mitigation, making EU non-financial companies more risky, more volatile and less competitive - this despite the legislator's recognition of the importance of maintaining non-financial companies' ability to manage their financial risk. Therefore we would urge the EBA to abandon the proposed measures that have no legal basis and do not measure the damaging consequences that an incomplete application of the exemption would have on the EU real economy.

We would in particular raise the following concerns:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Ongoing consultation on the Guidelines on the treatment of CVA risk under SREP, https://www.eba.europa.eu/documents/10180/1270333/EBA-CP-2015-21+%28CP+on+GL+on+Treatment+of+CVA+Risk+under+SREP%29.pdf

- 1. The EBA has not been legally mandated to review or propose any measures concerning the CVA exemption for non-financial counterparties, which the EBA itself has acknowledged in its report. It is therefore totally inappropriate for an ESA to essentially ignore and oppose the democratic legislative process of level 1 by imposing supervisory measures that will not have the scrutiny of the Commission, the Parliament or the Council. We strongly contest the legitimacy for the EBA to impose measures that will go against the level 1 text that has been democratically agreed upon by the EU legislator.
- 2. The EBA has not given any consideration in its analysis to the specific nature of the use of OTC derivatives by NFCs (in comparison to financial counterparties). Hedging is part of prudent company risk management which contributes to financial stability by making these NFCs less risky to their financial counterparties, suppliers, customers, employees and to economic stability in general. If EBA even partially removes the CVA exemption, non-financial companies will become more risky to their counterparties due to decreased mitigation of financial risks. Furthermore, there is a fundamental difference in the risks posed by NFCs compared to financial counterparties: NFCs' business and default risks are much less correlated and irrelevant from a systemic risk perspective. All other things equal, a portfolio composed of NFCs would have a much lower risk than a portfolio of the same size composed of financial counterparties, because the NFC will benefit from sectorial diversification.
- 3. Historically the **CVA losses due to NFCs have been negligible**, as pointed out by the EBA's own figures. Most CVA losses have occurred in instruments and sectors totally unrelated to NFCs.
- 4. Any deviation from the CVA exemption is also likely to impact **companies' financial position because it will either** 
  - a. force NFCs to enter into credit support enhancements agreements that the banks' own credit department did not initially deem necessary. This will ultimately divert funds from productive investments. Should banks be required to obtain collateral, the corporations may end up borrowing from financial institutions to finance the margining. Then the risk will only have been shifted within banks, from the derivatives book to the lending book, or
  - b. increase the companies' credit spreads in the market because banks will be forced to mitigate counterparty risk by buying single-name corporate credit default swaps. Such a development would be in fundamental contradiction with the current policy objectives of facilitating companies' access to funding.

In both cases, the banks are likely to pass on costs directly or indirectly to NFCs.

For all the reasons mentioned above and to the benefit of the whole EU economy, we strongly encourage you to re-consider your approach on this topic and to abandon all measures that would have a detrimental impact on CVA-exempted hedging transactions. We of course remain at your disposal for any further clarifications.

Yours sincerely,

Jean-Marc Servat

**European Association of Corporate Treasurers** 

Copied to:

EBA:

Chair

Adam Farkas, Executive Director

## **European Commission:**

Jonathan Hill, Commissioner for Financial Stability, Financial Services and Capital Markets Union

Jyrki Katainen, Commission Vice-President for Jobs, Growth, Investment and Competitiveness

Olivier Guersent, Director General, DG FISMA

Mario Nava, Director of Regulation and prudential supervision of financial institutions, DG **FISMA** 

### **European Parliament:**

Roberto Gualtieri, Chair ECON

Burkhard Balz, EPP Coordinator, ECON

Othmar Karas, CRD4 rapporteur, ECON

Elisa Ferreira, S&D coordinator, ECON

Sylvie Goulard, ALDE coordinator, ECON

Kay Swinburne, ECR coordinator, ECON

Sven Giegold, Greens coordinator, ECON

# This letter is supported by all the member associations of the EACT and has been signed by the following companies:



Koninklijke Wessanen NV

L'Oréal

Meggitt PLC

Nexans

Nordzucker AG

NS Groep NV

NSI N.V.

Nutreco

Orange

Ornua

Pilkington Group Limited

**PUMA SE** 

**PVH Europe BV** 

Renault

Rolls-Royce Plc

Royal Mail Group plc

**RTL Group** 

Safran

Saint Gobain

Salzgitter AG

Sana Kliniken AG

Sanofi

Schüco International KG

SHV Holdings NV

Siemens

Stichting Elkien

Suez Environnement

Technip

Telefonica

Terex Germany GmbH & Co KG

Toshiba of Europe Ltd.

TRUMPF GmbH & Co. KG

TÜV SÜD AG

Vaillant Group

VEBA, a.s.

Veolia

Viessmann

Vonovia SE

VTTI BV

Webasto SE

Wereldhave N.V.

Wieland-Werke AG

Wolters Kluwer N.V.

WS Atkins plc

Xerox

Zeleziarne Podbrezova, a.s.